Multi-dimensional Compactons in Nonlinea Wave Equations

Mac Hyman Philip Rosenau Martin Staley

1D Dispersive Solitary Waves

$$u_t + [u^2 + u_{xx}]_x = 0$$

The balance between the dispersion and nonlineari stabilizes localized solitons in the KdV Equation.

Dispersive Solitary Waves Zakharov-Kuznetsov Equation $u_t + [u^2 + \Delta u]_x = 0$

In 2D and 3D the dispersion is stronger than in 11

The 2 or 3D cylindrically or spherically symmetric solitary waves are only weakly stable.

Dispersive Solitary Waves Zakharov-Kuznetsov Equation $u_t + [u^2 + \Delta u]_x = 0$

In 2D and 3D the dispersion is stronger than in 11

The 2 or 3D cylindrically or spherically symmetric solitary waves are only weakly stable.

Possible solutions:

- 1. Weaken the dispersive forces
- 2. Strengthen the nonlinear forces

1D Dispersive Solitary Waves

$$u_t + [u^2 + (u^2)_{xx}]_x = 0$$

The balance between the dispersion and nonlineari stabilizes localized compact solitons in the KdV compacton equation.

$$\frac{4}{3}\lambda cos^2(\frac{x-\lambda t}{4})$$

K22 Compacton Collision
$$u_t + [u^2 + (u^2)_{xx}]_x = 0$$



 $\frac{4}{3}\lambda cos^2(\frac{x-\lambda t}{4})$

K22 Compacton Collision $u_t + [u^2 + (u^2)_{xx}]_x = 0$



time

K33 Compacton Collision
$$u_t + [u^3 + (u^3)_{xx}]_x = 0$$





Dispersive Solitary Waves

$$C_N(m, a + b)$$
:
 $u_t + (u^m)_x + \frac{1}{b}[u^a(\nabla^2 u^b)]_x = 0$

For example, the 2D and 3D symmetric solitary waves for the $C_2(2, 0+2)$ equation

$$u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^2]_x = 0$$

are

2D:
$$u = \sqrt{\lambda [1 - cJ_0(\sqrt{2}R)]}$$

$$\mathbf{n} \qquad \mathbf{n} \qquad$$

C₂(3, 0+3) Solitary Waves $u_t + [u^3 + \frac{1}{3}\Delta u^3]_x = 0$



C₂(3, 0+3) Hard Collision $u_t + [u^3 + \frac{1}{3}\Delta u^3]_x = 0$



T = (



T = 10

Spatial Discretization
$$u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^2]_x = 0$$

 M_2 and M_4 = Number of points per wavelength need for a fixed accuracy for 2-nd or 4-th order finite difference methods for linear dispersive PDEs, then

$$M_2 = 0.4 M_4^2$$

If $M_4 = 50$ mesh points (4th order) in each direction, then you would need $M_2 = 1000$ mesh points (2nd order) to achieve the same accuracy.

Spatial Discretiztion: Aliasing Erro

$$u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^2]_x = 0$$

If the solution has N nonzero Fourier modes, then th quadratic nonlinear terms create 2N nonzero Fourie modes for U_t , and hence the energy in U quickly cascades to higher and higher modes.

The numerical simulation only calculates a finite number of modes (half the number of mesh points) & the balance between dispersion and the nonlinearity lost in the highest modes. This energy in the higher modes is aliased and reappears in the lower modes. **Spatial Discretiztion: Aliasing Erro**

$$u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^2]_x = F_{hpf}(\delta h \Delta u^2)$$

 δ = artificial dissipation parameter, typically order 1 h = mesh spacing

 F_{hpf} = is a high pass filter in Fourier Space

$$F_{hpf} = 0 \ for \ k < \phi K_{max}$$
 and
 $F_{hpf} = 1 \ for \ k \ge \phi K_{max}$

The artificial dissipation on the right hand side of this equation dissipates the solution in the high modes where they are not bein calculated accurately and eliminates the dissipation in the lower

Numerical Solution: Time Integration
$$u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^2]_x = 0$$

The Time Step is restricted by

Accuracy Constraints: The balance between the nonlinear inertial effects the nonlinear dispersive effects must be maintained. This balance is both critical at large values of U and as U approaches zero and restricts the time steps, even for implicit time integration methods.

Numerical Stability Constraints: The third derivative restricts explicit methods so that

$$\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta X^3} = O(1)$$

Doubling the number of spatial points in each direction requires 8 times r work in space and 8 times more integration steps = 64 times more CPU ti

Numerical Solution: Time Integration
$$u_t + F_{lpf} \ [u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^2]_x = 0$$

 $F_{lpf} = Low Pass Filter$ where the higher frequencies are reduced and the lower fraction, ϕ , of the frequencies unchanged. E.g.:

$$F_{lpf} = 1 \ for \ k < \phi K_{max} \ and$$

 $F_{lpf} = (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)^{-1}$ otherwise

This slows down higher wave speeds and allows a larger time ste The new time step restriction is:

$$\phi^3 \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta X^3} = O(1)$$

If the upper 1/2 of the frequencies of the time derivative are reduced (4, 0, 5) then the averticit time stars are by 0 times 1 areas

Numerical Solution Procedure

$$u_t + F_{lpf} \ [u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^2]_x = F_{hpf}(\delta h \Delta u$$

High Order Finite Difference Methods in Space High Order Spectral Dealiasing in Space Aritificial Dissipation (HPF)

High Order Spectral Wave Regularization in Time (LPF) Explicit High Order Time Integration Method

4th Order Adams-Bashforth-Moulton PECE meth Validation and Verification:

Monitor Conservation Laws Verify Traveling Wave Solutions

C₂(3, 1+2) Solitary Waves $u_t + [u^3 + \frac{1}{2}u\Delta u^2]_x = 0$



A series of compactons emerge from a long initial conditio



N-Dimensional
Traveling Wave Compactons

$$C_N(m, a + b)$$
:
 $u_t + (u^m)_x + \frac{1}{b}[u^a(\nabla^2 u^b)]_x = 0$

Spherically symmetric compactons traveling in the x direction satisfy

$$u^{a}[-\lambda u^{1-a} + u^{m-a} + \frac{1}{bR^{N-1}}\frac{d}{dR}R^{N-1}\frac{d}{dR}u^{b}] = 0$$

Where $s = x - \lambda t$ and $R = \sqrt{s^2 + y^2 + z^2}$

 $\lambda = speed$

Numerical Solution: Traveling Waves

$$u^{a}[-\lambda u^{1-a} + u^{m-a} + \frac{1}{bR^{N-1}}\frac{d}{dR}R^{N-1}\frac{d}{dR}u^{b}] =$$

Solve the Boundary Value Problem for U_0 and R_{max} for $\lambda = 1$: $U(0) = U_0$ U'(0) = 0 $U(R_{max}) = 0$

Regularize the equation near R=0 and U = 0 Solve for U_0 and R_{max} by shooting for Traveling Wave

Once you have solved for U_0 and R_{max} , then: Rescale to U_0 and R_{max} for other velocities Solve and spin the solution in 2 and 3D for cylindrically and spherically symmetric compactons



N-Dimensional Traveling Wave Compactons $C_N(m, a + b)$: $u_t + (u^m)_x + \frac{1}{b}[u^a(\nabla^2 u^b)]_x = 0$

Explicit solution for m = 1+b, a = 1

$$C_N(m = 1 + b, 1 + b)$$
 solution:

$$\begin{split} u &= \lambda^{\frac{1}{b}} [1 - \frac{F(R)}{F(R_*)}]^{\frac{1}{b}}, \quad 0 < R \le R_* \\ \mathbf{2D:} \ F(R) &= J_0(\sqrt{b}R) \qquad \qquad R = \sqrt{s^2 + y^2 + z^2} \\ \mathbf{3D:} \ F(R) &= \sin(\sqrt{b}R)/\sqrt{b}R \end{split}$$

u = 0 beyond R_* , the first trough of F(R)

C₂(2, 1+1) collisions are less elastic $u_t + [u^2 + u\Delta u]_x = 0$



Initial conditions

Post collision. Note the reduced height of the slow comp



Traveling Wave Compactons

$$C_N(m, a + b)$$
:
 $u_t + (u^m)_x + \frac{1}{b}[u^a(\nabla^2 u^b)]_x = 0$

Explicit solution for m = 2, a + b = 3

 $C_N(m = 2, a + b = 3)$ parabolic solution:

$$u = \kappa_N [\lambda A_N - R^2], \quad 0 < R \le R_* = \sqrt{\lambda A_N}$$
$$R = \sqrt{s^2 + y^2 + z^2}$$

For example in 2D: $C_N(2, 0+3)$: $A_N = \frac{3}{2}(4+N)^2$ $\kappa_N = [6(4+N)]^{-1}$

C₂(2, 0+3) Parabolic Compactons $u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{3}\Delta u^3]_x = 0$



T=(

C₂(2, 0+3) Parabolic Compactons $u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{3}\Delta u^3]_x = 0$



T=0

C₃(2, 1+2) Parabolic Compactons $u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u\Delta u^2]_x = 0$



line

C₃(2, 0+3) Parabolic Compactons
$$u_t + [u^2 + \frac{1}{3}\Delta u^3]_x = 0$$



The emergence of 3-dimensional compactons out of an initial 3-D ball wh breaks into a sequence of rings, each which later collapses into a compact

Additional Movies available at: http://math.lanl.gov/~mac/compacton

> Mac Hyman, Philip Rosenau, Martin Staley